## Attachment 3:

## Further information requested under Section 92 of the Resource Management Act 1991

## Contents

Landscape Architecture......1

#	Category of information	Specific Request	Reasons for request		
Landscape Architecture					
LA1	Provision of plans	<ul> <li>Please provide the plans referred to in Appendix A, Appendix D, Appendix E and Appendix F of the Landscape, Natural Character, and Visual Assessment (LA)</li> </ul>	The Assessment of Landscape, Natural Character and Visual Effects Report refers to a number of plans in these appendices, but they are not included in the document circulated.  It would be helpful to provide maps of the AUP zoning overlaid with the existing and proposed designation boundaries. This information may be included in the maps referred to in Appendix D and E.		
LA2	Clarification	Please map and clarify the status of the Hingaia Stream floodplain open space near Quarry Road.	On p.34, the Assessment of Landscape, Natural Character, and Visual Effects Report describes the future environment of the Hingaia Stream floodplain near Quarry Road as public open space. It would be helpful to understand the extent of land referred to and the status of this land as 'public open space'.		

#	Category of information	Specific Request	Reasons for request
LA3	Clarification	Confirm meaning of reference.	On p. 34, the Assessment of Landscape, Natural Character and Visual Effects Report notes the motorway character as increasingly 'urban' to the south. Please confirm whether this is a typo, and the reference should be to increasingly 'rural'.
LA4	Clarification	Clarify land use described in Viewpoint analysis tables	For Viewpoint 11 Future land use is noted as 'Rural Living', but the land on the southern side of the Mill Road corridor is zoned Business: Neighbourhood Centre. Please clarify.
			For Viewpoint 14 the future land use is described as 'Future Urban Zone' – please confirm (not Mixed Housing Suburban zone).
LA5	Clarification	Clarify how cross corridor connection between Great South Road and St Stephen's School and historical monument will be improved by NoR.	In Section 6.2 'Summary of Beneficial Effects' of the LA, the fourth bullet point identifies 'cross-corridor connection at Great South Road between St Stephen's School and historical monument'. Please clarify how the proposed NoRs will improve this connection.
LA6	Clarification	Clarify whether the assessment contained in Section 7 of the Assessment of Landscape, Natural Character and Visual Effects Report is limited to effects experienced within the designated road boundary or more	The introduction to Section 7 of the Assessment of Landscape, Natural Character, and Visual Effects Report notes that this section assesses the specific landscape and visual matters relating to alterations to NoRs 1-3. It appears that the assessment relates to effects experienced only within the

#	Category of information	Specific Request	Reasons for request
		broadly assesses potential landscape effects associated with each NoR alteration.	designation road corridor, rather than in relation to the surrounding environment.
LA7	Clarification	Please clarify the assessment for NOR     4.	The effects ratings set out in tables 8-2 and 8-3 of the Assessment of Landscape, Natural Character and Visual Effects Report do not correlate with the comments in Section 8.6 (effects relating to the SH crossing of Great South Road in the vicinity of the St Stephens School entry) and the visual assessment for Viewpoints 7 and 8.
LA8	Additional detail	Please provide a more detailed analysis of the receiving environment as it relates to the identified Landscape Character Types.	Section 4.2 of the Assessment of Landscape, Natural Character and Visual Effects Report references the categorisation of the Project route in 'Landscape Character Types' derived from the overarching Papakura to Pukekura ULDF (June 2021). However, the categorisation set out in this document contributes to the 'Vision' for the corridor, rather than an analysis of the existing environment. It sets out a broad design approach for different areas of the corridor, rather than identifying existing different character areas that form the context for considering the NoRs.  The identification of Landscape Character Types used doesn't reflect the varied land-use pattern in areas adjoining the corridor. A more detailed analysis of this variation would better inform the following analysis of effects on landscape character.

#	Category of information	Specific Request	Reasons for request
LA9	Additional detail	Please provide a more detailed assessment of landscape and natural character effects (Section 5.1)	Due to the broad brush identification of 'Landscape Character Types' noted above, the overall assessment of landscape and natural character effects is very brief. Further assessment, particularly in relation to the extent of the designation corridor, the potential for land modification and construction of structures should be assessed in relation to its surrounding context.
LA10	Additional analysis	Please provide an overarching assessment of visual effects in Section 5.2, identifying the various groups that comprise the viewing audience and setting out an assessment in relation to each of these.	Section 5.2 includes a detailed assessment in relation to a number of representative viewpoints. These are helpful to inform the visual assessment. However, this section should firstly identify the various groups that comprise the viewing audience and then provide an assessment in relation to these with reference to the viewpoint analysis. The detailed viewpoint analysis could be included as an appendix.
LA11	Additional analysis	Please provide an analysis of potential visual effects associated with the proposed boundary of NoR 2 where it extends east to the residential property boundaries fronting Makatu Road.	A number of residential properties interface directly with the proposed NoR boundary. Specific assessment in relation to the visual effects experienced by this audience is sought.